



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,374	04/17/2001		Tetsuya Nishi	1460.1020	5784	
21171	7590	05/23/2003				
STAAS & I			EXAMINER			
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	·			WANG, GI	EORGE Y	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$M_{\sim}$
		Application No.	Ā	pplicant(s)	- v
		09/835,374	N	IISHI ET AL.	
, → Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	А	rt Unit	
, ·		George Y. Wang		871	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	eet with the cori	respondence ad	ldress
THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f  - If the period for reply sp  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within th  - Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rom the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, e Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, a within the statutory minimum rill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to because the application to the application to because the application to the application to a	may a reply be timely n of thirty (30) days wi b) MONTHS from the ome ABANDONED (	filed II be considered timel mailing date of this c 35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u> 1arch 2002</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)∐ Thi	is action is non-final.	•		
	pplication is in condition for allowa cordance with the practice under				ne merits is
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
<u> </u>	ove claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration	n.		
5)☐ Claim(s)	· ·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremer	nt.		
Application Papers	tion is objected to by the Evernine				
·	tion is objected to by the Examine s) filed on <u>17 April 2001</u> is/are: a)[	_	inatad ta bu tha	Eveniner	
	ay not request that any objection to the		•		
	drawing correction filed on				er
	corrected drawings are required in rep			d by the Exchinin	01.
	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.	•				
<u>-</u>	ment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(	d) or (f).	
	Some * c)☐ None of:		<b>0</b>	-, (,-	
,— ,—	ed copies of the priority documents	s have been received	<b>d</b> .		
	ed copies of the priority documents			No	
3.☐ Copies ap	s of the certified copies of the prior plication from the International Bu	ity documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received (a)).		Stage
	ed detailed Office action for a list	•			
<u> </u>	ent is made of a claim for domestic			•	l application).
	slation of the foreign language pro ent is made of a claim for domesti	• •			
Attachment(s)					
3) Information Disclosure	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) s Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (Pice of Informal Pateer:		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 7	

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 2. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admission of Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Kashima (U.S. Patent No. 6,317,529).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 2, and 9, AAPA discloses an optical switch and optical switching method for increasing the number of inputs and outputs of an optical switch

Art Unit: 2871

with four optical matrix switches where a plurality of 2-input/2-output optical switch elements are arranged in each matrix to form a plurality of inputs, outputs, and auxiliary input and output ports (fig. 13b).

However, AAPA fails to specifically disclose the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch.

Kashima discloses an optical cross-connect apparatus where switches are crossed for the expansion of input and output (fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have configured the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch since one would be motivated to reduce signal loss (col. 1, lines 66-67). Furthermore, one skilled in the art fully acknowledge that the essence of cross connecting switches increases the maximum number of

Art Unit: 2871

possible combinations of switching elements, ultimately shortening the switching response time (col. 1, lines 24-26) as the combination of inputs and outputs is expanded.

- 4. <u>As per claim 5</u>, AAPA discloses the optical switch as recited above with switches in an opto-micro-electromechanical system (fig. 13a, ref. 1002).
- 5. <u>As per claim 6</u>, AAPA discloses the optical switch as recited above. However, AAPA fails to specifically disclose optical switches that are PI-LOSS optical matrix switches.

Kashima discloses an optical cross-connect apparatus with optical switches that are PI-LOSS optical matrix switches (col. 1, lines 32-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used PI-LOSS optical matrix switches since one would be motivated by its smaller size and basic switching function. Typically, one of ordinary skill in the art would use small-scale unit switches connected in series and stages for large-scale optical switches (col. 1, lines 32-38).

6. As to claim 7, AAPA discloses the optical switch as recited above. However,
AAPA fails to specifically disclose the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first
optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection
of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the

Art Unit: 2871

third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch. Furthermore, AAPA fails to specifically teach a plurality of demultiplexing means for the input and a plurality of multiplexing means for the output.

Kashima discloses an optical cross-connect apparatus where switches are crossed for the expansion of input and output (fig. 1) and a plurality of demultiplexing means for the input and a plurality of multiplexing means for the output (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have configured the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch since one would be motivated to reduce signal loss (col. 1, lines 66-67). Furthermore, one skilled in the art fully acknowledge that the essence of cross connecting switches increases the maximum number of possible combinations of switching elements, ultimately shortening the switching response time (col. 1, lines 24-26) as the combination of inputs and outputs is expanded.

Art Unit: 2871

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a plurality of demultiplexing means for the input and a plurality of multiplexing means for the output since one would be motivated because the use of the switch is essential to its overall function in WDM systems for maximized capacity and speed for data transmission (col. 1, lines 10-14).

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA and Kashima in further view of Shimomura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,347,168, from hereinafter "Shimomura").

AAPA and Kashima disclose the optical switch as recited above. However, the references fail to specifically disclose optical switches that are cross-bar optical matrix switches and switching elements that are semiconductor optical switches.

Shimomura discloses an optical switch system with optical switches that are cross-bar optical matrix switches having switching elements that are semiconductor optical switches (col.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used cross-bar optical matrix switches with switching elements that are semiconductor optical switches since one would be motivated by its smaller size, flexibility of use, and basic switching function (col. 1, lines 13-36). Its basic function of converting signals within a node minimizes communication costs (col. 1, lines 13-24).

Art Unit: 2871

8. Regarding claim 8, AAPA discloses an optical cross-connecting apparatus with a plurality of optical demultiplexing and multiplexing units to demultiplex and multiplex, respectively, on a wavelength basis, light that is inputted to be outputted (pg. 1, lines 22-24) and an optical switch as recited above (fig. 13b).

However, AAPA fails to specifically disclose the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch.

Kashima discloses an optical cross-connect apparatus where switches are crossed for the expansion of input and output (fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have configured the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the third optical matrix switch, the connection of the output ports of the first optical matrix switch to the auxiliary input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch, and the connection of the auxiliary output ports of the second optical matrix switch to the input ports of the fourth optical matrix switch since one would be motivated to reduce signal loss (col. 1, lines 66-67). Furthermore, one skilled in the art fully acknowledge

Application/Control Number: 09/835,374 Page 8

Art Unit: 2871

that the essence of cross connecting switches increases the maximum number of possible combinations of switching elements, ultimately shortening the switching response time (col. 1, lines 24-26) as the combination of inputs and outputs is expanded.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 March 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's main argument is that the Kashima reference fails to teach a non-blocking switch as "recited in the present invention." In fact, Applicant says that that the Kashima reference teaches a blocking switch because it does not provide any reserve/auxiliary ports and cites fig. 5 to support. However, Examiner notes that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., non-blocking) are nowhere to be found in the recited claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, Examiner asserts that the rejection set forth relies on the Kashima reference to show obviousness to the cross-connect design and motivation for that rather than actual switching capabilities. AAPA adequately teaches those elements already. Therefore, Examiner holds to the validity of the Kashima reference and maintains rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2871

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 703-305-7242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Årt Unit: 2871

Page 10

SW

gw May 9, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800